Midcourse Review Jay Todd's English 1020 Conducted 2/13/17 by Jeremy Tuman

Summary:

The students are satisfied with the class overall. The appreciate the instructor and noted many strengths. The complaints and suggestions detailed below were of a narrow, procedural nature, which suggests overall satisfaction.

What's Working

- -High level of student engagement.
- -Instructor easy to talk to.
- -Assignment preparation.
- -In-class activities.
- -Video quizzes.
- -Videos
- -Reading quizzes (good level of detail).
- -Paper games (I couldn't quite understand what these are, but they like them).
- -Before-class prompts.
- -Story selections (some disagreement here).

What's Not Working

- -Multiple peers reviews that produce contradictory suggestions.
- -"Reading guide" questions are repetitive (I think the idea here was that the same questions are asked of each selection, not that one individual set of questions was repetitive.).
- -They Say, I Say is redundant.
- -The peer review after the final draft, presumably toward a portfolio version. Here they seemed to want this earlier, which somewhat contradicts the complaint of multiple peer reviews.

- -The online peer-review (perhaps a one-time thing?) was unanimously disliked.
- -Minimum word count on peer review responses (perhaps only online?) also disliked.
- -One student disliked reading aloud together in class. Others voiced mild agreement.

Suggestions

- -Conduct peer review before final draft is due.
- -Make peer reviews anonymous.
- -Less in-class writing, or more time to complete in-class writing.
- -More time to complete assignments in general.
- -Regarding the "grace period," some felt this should be established or automatic, and not be based on a personal request.
- -More extra credit (Lol, every time, but really only 1-2 students)
- -Regarding your videos, at times your voice cuts in and out, which several found problematic.
- -More learning games.
- -Regarding reading selections, some like them, some wanted different selections.